Sectoral interlinkages and the role of natural gas sector in India: An intertemporal economic impacts analysis

Alok Aditya (Corresponding Author) PhD Scholar, Centre of Economic Studies and Policy, Institute for Social and Economic Change, Bangalore-560072, Karnataka, India ORCID: <u>0000-0002-1007-5394</u> Phone number: +919304100167 Email: <u>alokaditya01@gmail.com</u>

Krishna Raj

Professor of Economics, Centre of Economic Studies and Policy, Institute for Social and Economic Change, Bangalore-560072, Karnataka, India Phone number: +919448182611 Email: krishnaraj@isec.ac.in

Abstract

This study aims to provide a comprehensive economic assessment of the natural gas sector and its impacts on sectoral output, employment, income, and price level. One of the significant contributions of this study is that it aims to capture the policy dynamics within natural gas and the rest of the economy by incorporating an intertemporal analysis of the effect. It employs the input-output (I-O) method to analyze the sectoral interlinkages and impact of exogenous change in the natural gas sector on the rest of the economy. The findings reveal stronger forward linkages compared to backward ones. The intertemporal dynamics of natural gas show consistent patterns in sectoral linkages, income, and employment impacts, with the strongly linked mining, manufacturing, construction, transportation, and services sectors. The findings suggest investment in natural gas upstream sectors to improve its backward linkages while investment in midstream infrastructure to boost the forward linkages. It also suggests formulating the gas allocation policies for India based on the sectoral interlinkages of the sector.

Keywords: Natural gas; Economic impacts; Input-output analysis; sectoral interlinkages; Energy

JEL Classification: C67, Q43

Introduction

Natural gas plays a pivotal role in India's energy landscape, particularly as the country seeks to transition towards cleaner energy sources. The Indian government has set ambitious targets to increase the share of natural gas in the energy mix from approximately 6.5% to 15% by 2030, reflecting a strategic shift towards reducing carbon emissions and enhancing energy security (Sinha et al. 2022). Natural gas is recognized as the least emission-intensive fossil fuel, making it a preferable alternative to coal and oil for electricity generation, transportation, and industrial fuel (Shukla et al. 2007; Chugh 2021). India's endowment of total natural gas as of April 2022 was 1138 billion cubic meters (BCM), while the natural gas production stood at 34 BCM against the total consumption of 60 BCM. Hence, the strategic shift towards natural gas can improve the economy, environment and energy security, as India currently imports about 43% of its natural gas (Rawat and Garg 2023). The government of India implemented several regulatory and fiscal policy measures, notably the New Exploration and Licensing Policy (NELP) in 1999, the establishment of the Petroleum and Natural Gas Regulatory Board (PNGRB) in 2006 and the Hydrocarbon Exploration and Licensing Policy (HELP) in 2007 to improve competitiveness and reduce the import dependency on natural gas. These measures aim to improve upstream natural gas production and midstream and downstream infrastructure (Mason 2021; Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas (MoPNG) 2020). However, the gas allocation and skewed pricing policies, which largely affect the sectoral consumption of natural gas, hinder the economic potential of the sector (Nischal and Kumar 2008; Jain and Sen 2011). Though the sector has a small contribution to the economy, natural gas is considered the most suitable fossil fuel of the 21st century (Smil 2015).

Natural gas provides inputs to several energy and non-energy consumers, impacting the economy through its consumption channel. However, international studies on the causal relationship between natural gas consumption and economic growth provide mixed results. The early studies claim the absence of such evidence in Taiwan, Pakistan, Australia and New Zealand (Yang 2000; Aqeel and Butt 2001; Fatai, Oxley, and Scrimgeour 2004), while studies using the panel data found significant bidirectional causality between natural gas consumption and economic growth (Apergis and Payne 2010; Ozturk and Al-Mulali 2015; Solarin and Lean 2016). The Indian literature also provides sufficient evidence on the role of natural gas consumption in improving overall sustainable development (Srinivasan, Ravindra, and Prakasam 2015; Roy 2023; Adebayo et al. 2023), gross domestic product (GDP) growth (Solarin and Lean 2016; Ummalla and Samal 2019), and other economic indicators (Kumar, Shastri, and Hoadley 2020) in India. Other studies applying energy demand modelling reveal natural gas consumption will play a crucial role in energy independence and employment growth (IEA 2021; Malyan et al. 2021; Malyan 2020).

Economic impact through consumption channels mainly considers natural gas as input, so it is necessary to incorporate the impacts coming from its production channels. Prior studies have examined local, regional, and overall economic development due to natural gas upstream activities. The studies focusing on the economic impacts of natural gas production on local and

national economies provide several insights, such as transmitting effect from one region to another (Robison and Duffy-Deno 1996) and economic development through business-tobusiness spending and payments to landowners (Considine, Watson, and Blumsack 2010). These activities also boost income, employment, and government tax revenue. In the Indian context, a few case studies in Rajasthan and Assam provide mixed results on the localized economic impacts. These studies highlight that although natural gas production contributed to the state government's revenue and supplementary income for the local households, it failed to contribute significantly to the regional economic transformation due to mismanagement (Segal and Sen 2011; K. K. Sharma et al. 2011; D. Sharma et al. 2018).

The above studies, though, capture the economic impacts of natural gas through its consumption and production and lack evidence on the overall economic impacts. The overall economic impacts at the disaggregated level incorporating all the economic sectors can be captured through the input-output (I-O) and computational general equilibrium (CGE) framework. Using these assessment frameworks, studies on different countries such as Greece, Indonesia, and South Korea highlight that natural gas provides significant economic linkages to other sectors while boosting GDP, sectoral output, household income and employment, and investment in the economy (Caloghirou, Mourelatos, and Roboli 1996; Song, Lim, and Yoo 2014; Hutagalung et al. 2019; Lee, Kim, and Yoo 2023; Kim, Kim, and Yoo 2020). However, we have not found any studies on the economic role of the natural gas sector in India in terms of its economic linkages with the rest of the economy. Hence, against this backdrop, the present study aims to provide a comprehensive economic assessment of the natural gas sector through its impacts on sectoral output, employment, and income. It also attempts to complement the impact on economic indicators through supply shortages and changes in the price of natural gas. One of the significant contributions of this study is that it aims to capture the policy dynamics within natural gas and the rest of the economy by incorporating an intertemporal analysis of the effect. These policy dynamics capture the changes in natural gas allocation policy and sector-specific energy consumption policies, which significantly change the structure of the economic linkages and, in turn, the economic impacts of the sector. The study employs input-output (I-O) analysis, which is cost-effective and offers a robust framework for assessing how changes in final demand for one sector affect the rest of the economy. This method utilizes market transaction tables aligned with the national accounting system, providing frequent updates and high transparency and has the potential to account for multiple impacts at multiregional levels (Dimitriou, Mourmouris, and Sartzetaki 2015; Logar and Van Den Bergh 2013; Nansai et al. 2009).

Methodology

Data Source

The study utilizes two secondary data sources: Supply and Use Tables (SUTs) by the Ministry of Statistics and Program Implementation (MoSPI) and the Employment Situation Report (Employment and Unemployment Survey) by the Ministry of Labour and Employment (MoLE), Government of India. The compilation of the input-output table in value terms for 2011-12, 2015-16, and 2019-20 was done using the supply and use table for the same years.

We constructed product-by-product I-O tables based on industry-technology assumptions (Miller and Blair 2022) following the guidelines of the compilation of the I-O table from SUT provided by the United Nations System of National Accounting (UN-SNA) (Beutel et al. 2018; Eurostat 2008). The resultant input-output table has dimensions of 140×140 structure, where 140 products are supplied to 140 sectors. The study aggregated the 140×140 structure into a 34×34 sectors input-output table to perform the numerical operations and demonstrate the analysis results. The aggregation of the original 140×140 table is based on the National Industrial Classification (NIC)-2008.

General Framework of Input-output Analysis

The general framework of the input-output system can be written from a demand and supply perspective. In general, the demand-side input-output system follows the following framework.

$$x = Zi + f \tag{1}$$

Where Z is the intermediary consumption matrix, and f is the final demand matrix. The x represents the total output, and the change in x can be obtained as

$$\Delta x = (I - A)^{-1} \Delta f = L \Delta f \tag{2}$$

Where A is the input coefficient matrix $[a_{ij} = z_{ij}\hat{x}^{-1}]$, $(I - A)^{-1}$ or *L* is the Leontief Inverse Matrix or total requirement matrix. Similarly, the supply-side input-output system can be written as

$$x' = i'Z + v' \tag{3}$$

Where Z is the intermediary consumption matrix, and v' is the transpose of the value-added matrix. x' represents the total supply and change in x' can be obtained as

$$\Delta x' = \Delta v' (I - B)^{-1} = \Delta v' G \tag{4}$$

Where B is the output or allocation coefficient matrix, and $(I - B)^{-1}$ or G is Output Inverse Matrix or Ghosh Inverse Matrix (Ghosh 1958).

Estimating Inter-Industry Linkages Impacts of the Natural Gas Sector

The economic linkages of the natural gas sector can be assessed through its backward and forward linkages to the rest of the economy. The backward linkage (BL) effect of the natural gas sector presents the power of dispersion, which is the sum of elements in the natural gas column of the total requirement matrix (Guitton and Rasmussen 1957).

$$BL_j = \sum_{i=1}^n l_{ij} \tag{5}$$

However, to compare the backward linkage scores of natural gas with other sectors, normalized backward linkage (NBL) scores are used (Guitton and Rasmussen 1957).

$$NBL_j = \frac{BL_j}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n BL_k}$$
(6)

Similarly, the forward linkage (FL) effect of the natural gas sector presents the power of dispersion, which is the sum of elements in the natural gas sector row of the Ghosh inverse matrix (Guerra and Sancho 2011).

$$FL_i = \sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij} \tag{7}$$

The normalized forward linkages (NFL) score can be obtained to compare the sectoral score of forward linkage.

$$NFL_i = \frac{FL_i}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n FL_k}$$
(8)

Estimating Demand-Side Economic Impacts of the Natural Gas Sector

Input-output analysis plays a crucial role in impact analysis by examining how exogenous change in a sector affects the rest of the economy. These impacts are assessed through different multipliers, which measure the excess of total effects over the initial effect of the exogenous change. The multiplier is called simple when total impacts capture direct and indirect impacts (open input-output model – the household sector is exogenous). It is called a total multiplier when it captures the former plus induce effects (closed input-output model – the household sector is endogenous).

This study utilizes four types of multipliers within a demand-driven input-output framework to assess the impact of changes in the natural gas sector. Precisely, output multipliers measure the effects on sectoral outputs, income multipliers evaluate the impact on household income within each sector, employment multipliers assess changes in employment levels, and value-added multipliers determine the effect on the value added by each industry. Additionally, the study estimates the effects of natural gas supply shortages on sectoral output using a supply-driven input-output system, providing a comprehensive view of both demand and supply-side impacts.

The simple output multiplier for the natural gas sector (j) can be written as (Miller and Blair 2022)

$$m(o)_j = \sum_{i=1}^n l_{ij}$$
 (9)

The income multiplier for the natural gas sector (j) can be defined as the monetary income generated by a rupee increase in final demand for the natural gas sector's output. Let $h' = [z_{n+1,j} (j = 1 ... n)]$ be the household column vector of the wage earned by a household in each sector in the monetary term, then the household input coefficient can be calculated as

$$h'_{c} = h'\hat{x}^{-1} = [a_{n+1,j} \ (j = 1 \dots n)] \tag{10}$$

Therefore, the simple income multiplier for the natural gas sector (j) can be calculated as

$$m(h)_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n+1,i} \, l_{ij} \tag{11}$$

The household input coefficient $[a_{n+1,j}]$ represents the initial income effect in terms of additional income payments to the household if the final demand for the natural gas sector (*j*) output increases by one rupee. Following that, one can calculate the natural gas sector's Type I and Type II income multipliers by dividing the simple income multiplier by its initial income effect in the open and closed I-O tables (Emonts-Holley, Ross, and Swales 2021; Moore 1955; Miller and Blair 2009).

The physical employment multipliers can be defined as the number of jobs generated due to one rupee increase in the final demand for the natural gas sector's output. The calculation of physical employment multipliers follows the same procedures as income multipliers by defining the h' row vector in physical labour unit terms rather than monetary terms.

$$e'_{c} = e'\hat{x}^{-1} = [a_{n+1,j} \ (j = 1 \dots n)] \tag{12}$$

The simple employment multiplier for the natural gas sector (j) can be calculated as

$$m(e)_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n+1,i} \, l_{ij} \tag{13}$$

The calculation of value-added multipliers follows the same procedures as the calculation of income multipliers. However, at the place of h' row vector, we provide value-added for each sector as $v'_c = [a_{n+1,j} \ (j = 1 \dots n)]$. The sectoral value-added coefficient can be calculated using the same procedure as the technical coefficients.

$$m(v)_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{n+1,i} \, l_{ij} \tag{14}$$

It is often argued that since value-added captures the value of total output over the cost of total intermediate inputs used by the sector, the value-added multiplier measures the sector's contribution better than that measured through output multipliers (Miller and Blair 2022)

Estimating Supply-Side Economic Impacts of the Natural Gas Sector

While the above multipliers are based on the demand-driven I-O model, the supply shortage effect of the natural gas sector on other sectors can be analyzed using the supply-driven I-O model. The supply-driven model analyses the effect of restrictions on the supply of the products or services of a sector directly and indirectly (Halvorson 1987; Davis and Salkin 1984). The simple supply-shortage multiplier for the natural gas sector (j) can be written as

$$m(s)_i = \sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij}$$
 (15)

Estimating Price-Side Impacts of Natural Gas Sector

In input-output (I-O) analysis, the sectoral cost structure is intrinsic to the model, allowing for the assessment of price level impacts within an industry through changes in the cost structure within the value-added matrix. While the Leontief Price Model was originally designed for physical I-O tables, it can also be applied to monetary I-O tables by normalizing the price to ₹1 per unit of output for each sector. This adjustment facilitates the analysis of price impacts. In this model, changes in the value-added matrix reflect exogenous shocks resulting from increases in the price of intermediate inputs, which subsequently affect the output prices of the sector. Therefore, the exogenous change in value-added can be expressed as:

$$\Delta v_c = (\hat{x}^0)^{-1} \Delta v \tag{16}$$

While the endogenous change in price when quantity is fixed can be obtained as

$$\Delta \tilde{p} = (I - A^0)' \Delta v_c \tag{17}$$

The superscripts "0" and "1" refer to before and after the exogenous change. The change price refers to a change in relative price as the model does not explicitly include information on absolute price.

Results and Discussion

Linkages of Natural Gas with Other Economic Sectors

The Indian economic sectors show strong backward linkage (BL) and forward linkage (FL), scoring above one. Overall average BL scores for 2011-12, 2015-16, and 2019-20 were 1.81, 1.73, and 1.78, while average FL scores were 1.90, 1.83, and 1.89. Table 2 shows normalized backward linkages (NBL) for the natural gas sector below one, reflecting weaker sectoral BL, likely due to high import dependence and limited domestic reserves and production. Natural gas exhibits a lower score mainly due to narrow operational areas than other energy resources producing sectors such as coal and crude Petroleum. However, the normalized forward linkage (NFL) score, over one for natural gas, highlights its critical role in supporting production in other sectors. This suggests that while natural gas fuels many industries, its own economic stimulus is limited.

Energy sectors generally exhibit stronger forward than backward linkages as they are majorly used as energy inputs. The natural gas sector holds the position of the key economic sector with stronger forward linkages (Normalized Forward Linkage (NBL): 2011-12 - 1.80; 2015-16 - 1.79; 2019-20 - 1.71) than backward linkage (Normalized Backward Linkage (NBL): 2011-12 - 0.97; 2015-16 - 0.93; 2019-20 - 0.76). It supports mining, textiles, petrochemicals, and construction sectors while depending on inputs from sectors such as transportation and service. This emphasizes its interconnectedness and essential role in the Indian economy.

Sector Output (Production Inducing Effect and Value-Added Effect)

Table 2 summarizes the impact of the change in final demand for natural gas on sectoral output and value-added. The total production-induced effects of $\gtrless1$ worth of investment due to change in final demand in natural gas translated into $\gtrless0.76$, $\gtrless0.62$, and $\gtrless0.35$ worth of additional investment demand and $\gtrless0.28$, $\gtrless0.25$, and $\gtrless0.17$ in value addition to other sectors in 2011-12, 2015-16, and 2019-20, respectively. While we have also estimated the parameters for the closed input-output models, they have not been presented in the table due to the word limit and clear presentation of key data in the tables. After including households into the model, $\gtrless1$ invested in natural gas required $\gtrless1.79$, $\gtrless1.81$, and $\gtrless1.62$ worth of inputs from other sectors in 2011-12, 2015-16, and 2019-20, respectively. The reason for the decrease in the sector's pulling capacity can be attributed to the stagnancy in upstream activities, where only a few new natural gas wells were constructed in these periods. Hence, the sector mainly pulled the other sector through its operational activities, as observed by improving the ranking of services sectors by 2019.

Sectors such as Fertilizers, Crude Petroleum, Metals, Petroleum Products, and Construction ranked highly in providing input to the sector. However, by 2019-20, Crude Petroleum, Various Business and Financial Services, and Machinery Rental emerged as key sectors, providing backward linkages to the sector. The outperformance of these specific sectors in terms of providing backward linkages lies in the integrated nature of the natural gas sector with Crude Petroleum and capital-intensive operations, which increases its reliance on various services and rented equipment.

Income and Employment of the Household

Table 3 demonstrates that an additional rupee of final demand for natural gas generated ₹0.269, ₹0.270, and ₹0.345 in additional household income in 2011-12, 2015-16, and 2019-20, respectively. The increase in the total income effect was mainly due to the increase in the forward income multiplier, while the backward income effect declined in 2015-16 and remained constant thereafter. In 2011-12, the highest income through backward linkages was earned by households engaged in Chemical, Fertilizer & Pharmaceuticals (₹0.014), followed by Trade Activities (₹0.011), Construction (₹0.005), Mining & Quarrying (₹0.006), and Metal & Non-metal Products Manufacturing (₹0.006) which was outperformed by services sectors by 2019-20. In terms of total income effect, the Construction, Chemical, Fertilizers & Pharmaceuticals, Transport, and Petroleum products industries remained dominant throughout the period. The natural gas sector itself contributed $\gtrless0.136$, $\gtrless0.147$, and $\gtrless0.149$ to household income in the respective years. The additional income generated per unit initial income in the natural gas sector was $\gtrless1.397$ through BL while $\gtrless2.936$ through FL in 2019-20. Considering the household as endogenous to the model, it was $\gtrless2.373$ and $\gtrless5.065$ through BL and FL, respectively.

The employment multipliers in Table 4 indicate that a $\gtrless1$ crore increase in natural gas final demand created 73, 49, and 31 jobs economy-wide in 2011-12, 2015-16, and 2019-20. The highest jobs through BL were created in Agriculture and allied activities, Chemical, Fertilizer & Pharmaceuticals, Trade and Mental and Non-metal Products industries, while Through FL highest jobs were created in Agriculture and allied activities, Transportation, Petroleum products manufacturing, Construction, and Trade. While the highest impact on agriculture may be due to its labour-intensive nature and increased consumption, the role of natural gas in the manufacturing of petroleum products, chemicals, metal, construction sector, and transportation has increased significantly. The additional employment generated per unit initial job in the natural gas sector was 2 through BL while 9 through FL in 2019-20. However, after including households in the model, it was 10 and 16 through BL and FL, respectively.

Supply Shortage Effect

Table 5 illustrates the effects of a supply shortage in the natural gas sector. When natural gas supply was reduced by ₹1, it caused a supply shortage of ₹2.41, ₹2.25, and ₹2.23 in other sectors for 2011-12, 2015-16, and 2019-20, respectively. The sectors most affected by this supply shortage include Petroleum Products, Chemicals and Fertilizers, Transportation, Construction, Metal and Non-metal Products, Electricity, Gas & Water, Trade, Motor Vehicle Manufacturing, and Information and Communication Services. Unlike the production-induced effects, these sectors consistently experienced the highest impact intertemporally.

In 2011-12, the absence of natural gas would have led to production losses of ₹462 billion in Petroleum Products, ₹135 billion in Chemicals and Fertilizers, ₹82 billion in transportation, ₹74.5 billion in Construction, and ₹55 billion in Metal and Non-metal Products. This indicates that natural gas serves as a crucial energy source and feedstock input for these sectors. Overall, a total supply failure of natural gas in 2011-12, 2015-16, and 2019-20 would have resulted in an economic loss of ₹1.22 trillion, ₹1.14 trillion, and ₹1.22 trillion, respectively.

Relative Price Effects (10% Increase in Compensation of Employees)

The relative price effects of a 10% increase in the price of inputs, which translates into a change in the price of natural gas and, in turn, the price of other products, are presented in Table 5. The economy-wide effect of a 10% increase in compensation of employees in 2011-12 in the natural gas sector led to a 1.445% increase in natural gas prices. When this happens in 2015-16 and 2019-20, the natural gas price goes up by 1.561% and 1.962% respectively. Considering the price effect of natural gas on other sectors, Petroleum products, chemicals, fertilizers &

pharmaceuticals, transport, metal & non-metal products, and electricity, gas & water sectors are highly sensitive to changes in natural gas price. The weighted average impact of price change in the natural gas sector on the Indian economy stood at 0.063%, 0.056%, and 0.068% after changes in cost price in 2011-12, 2015-16, and 2019-20 respectively. Due to the lower share of natural gas in the Indian energy basket, it does not hold a significant share in the input structure of the other sectors and, hence, has a small price impact. However, an intertemporal comparison of the price impact shows that it increased in 2019-20 compared to previous time points.

Policy Implications and Potential Use of the Study

The findings of this study on the intersectoral linkages and economic impacts of the natural gas sector in India have significant policy implications for the country's energy strategy, economic planning, and sustainable development goals. It also helps identify the key sectors of the economy and the sectors that provide support and get support from other economic sectors. The study reveals that the natural gas sector's backward linkages are weaker than forward linkages. It pulls the social overhead capitals, manufacturing of chemicals, metal, Construction, and various financial and non-financial Industries while providing forward linkages to petrochemicals, fertilizers, metals, and transportation. Hence, the strength of the natural gas sector lies in providing inputs rather than absorbing the products and services of the other sectors. The findings suggest policies that can enhance the investment in natural gas upstream activities and strengthen the natural gas infrastructure and distribution system. The findings highlight a few common sectors that provide higher backward and forward linkages to the sector. This suggests the expansion of natural gas supply to untapped regions and replacing coal and oil with natural gas in industries, which will further enhance the environmental benefits. The supply shortage effects indicate better fuel management in the industries relying heavily on natural gas.

While the natural gas sector has shown significant potential to contribute to household income and employment, the study finds a shift towards more capital-intensive technologies, which could reduce labour demand. Policymakers should consider these trends when designing policies to ensure that the transition to more efficient technologies does not adversely affect employment. This could involve retraining and upskilling programs for workers in the natural gas sector and related industries and promoting labour-intensive projects within the sector. The findings suggest that further penetration of natural gas into manufacturing could significantly boost its economic contribution, particularly in sectors like metals, Construction, and transportation.

The price effect of natural gas on the overall economy and most sectors is small. However, few sectors, such as manufacturing of petroleum products, fertilizer, transportation, and household consumers, are found to be sensitive to the change in natural gas prices. This advocates for a competitive pricing policy and regulation for those sectors where the penetration of cleaner energy is desirable. This also provides insights to formulate more informed policies regarding subsidies, pricing strategies, and taxation that can mitigate adverse effects on vulnerable sectors and consumers.

The study's intertemporal analysis of natural gas allocation policies suggests that changes in these policies significantly influence the sector's economic impacts. It recommends adopting a dynamic approach to policymaking, regularly reviewing and adjusting natural gas allocation policies in response to changing economic conditions, technological advancements, and market dynamics. This adaptive approach would ensure that the sector contributes effectively to India's economic growth while addressing emerging challenges and opportunities.

As India transitions towards a balanced and sustainable energy mix, natural gas can play a pivotal role as a cleaner alternative to coal and oil. Policymakers should, therefore, integrate natural gas into broader climate and energy policies aimed at reducing carbon emissions. This could involve setting clear targets for natural gas in the energy mix, offering incentives for switching to gas from more polluting fuels, and supporting research into cleaner technologies such as hydrogen production from natural gas with carbon capture and storage (CCS).

Conclusion

This study analyzed intersectoral linkages between the natural gas sector and the rest of the Indian economy using an input-output framework. The findings reveal stronger forward linkages compared to backward ones. The moderate backward linkage, especially compared to coal and lignite, is due to high import dependence, yet the sector shows significant forward linkages, supplying inputs to various sectors.

This study significantly contributes to sectoral linkage analysis by incorporating both demanddriven and supply-driven input-output frameworks, allowing for a comprehensive exploration of natural gas sector interlinkages. It also examines the intertemporal impact of natural gas allocation on production, value addition, income, and employment, highlighting changes due to different allocation policies.

The intertemporal dynamics of natural gas show consistent patterns in sectoral linkages, income, and employment impacts, with the strongly linked mining, manufacturing, construction, transportation, and services sectors. In 2019-20, linkages shifted toward crude Petroleum and services like business, financial, and transport sectors, reflecting policy changes and increased investment in city gas distribution and technology. While income effects slightly increased, employment effects declined, indicating a shift from labour- to capital-intensive production. As India moves toward a balanced energy mix, natural gas will drive growth across sectors. Understanding these linkages is essential for effective policymaking and strategic planning in India's evolving economy.

Table 1. Backward and Forward Linkage Effects in India	
--	--

Sl. No.	Product * Industry	Backwa	ard Link	age Effect	ts	Forward Linkage Effects								
		2011-12	2	2015-16	5	2019-20)	2011-12	2	2015-16	5	2019-20)	
		Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	
1	Agriculture & Allied Activities	0.740	32	0.756	32	0.725	33	1.025	16	0.984	16	0.846	20	
2	Coal & Lignite	0.991	19	0.895	24	0.878	22	1.700	3	1.787	1	1.808	1	
3	Natural Gas	0.973	20	0.934	22	0.761	30	1.800	1	1.774	2	1.714	2	
4	Crude Petroleum	0.965	21	0.934	23	0.779	28	1.800	2	1.774	3	1.703	3	
5	Mining and Querying (Metal & Non-metal)	0.823	28	0.890	26	0.996	19	1.540	4	1.584	4	1.669	4	
6	Food Products	1.237	2	1.263	1	1.317	1	0.680	24	0.626	29	0.742	27	
7	Beverages, Coffee & Tobacco	1.045	17	1.054	14	1.194	7	0.649	27	0.636	28	0.642	30	
8	Cotton & Textile	1.251	1	1.211	4	1.221	5	0.727	22	0.740	23	0.777	25	
9	Leather & Leather Products	1.107	12	1.161	6	1.192	8	0.755	21	0.689	25	0.668	29	
10	Wood, Furniture & Products	1.128	10	1.151	9	1.131	13	1.195	11	1.197	10	1.237	6	
11	Publishing, printing, and allied activities	1.131	9	1.083	13	1.133	12	0.962	17	0.840	19	0.997	16	
12	Rubber & Plastic	1.202	5	1.187	5	1.182	10	1.140	13	1.132	12	1.180	8	
13	Petroleum Products incl. Coal Tar	0.889	24	0.983	19	0.814	27	1.266	8	1.199	9	1.123	12	
14	Chemical, Fertilizer & Pharmaceuticals	1.092	14	1.125	10	1.072	15	1.320	7	1.403	6	1.147	10	
15	Metal and Non-Metal Products	1.204	4	1.224	2	1.275	2	1.358	6	1.359	7	1.454	5	
16	Non-electrical Machinery & Equipment	1.162	7	1.152	7	1.191	9	0.648	28	0.641	27	0.781	24	
17	Electrical Machinery & Equipment	1.198	6	1.152	8	1.219	6	0.862	20	0.750	22	0.820	21	
18	Motor Vehicles and Transport Equipment	1.217	3	1.217	3	1.246	3	0.635	30	0.619	30	0.810	23	
19	Other Manufacturing incl. Gems & Jewellery	1.055	16	1.012	17	1.238	4	0.705	23	0.868	18	1.065	13	
20	Construction	1.124	11	1.118	11	1.143	11	0.645	29	0.652	26	0.718	28	
21	Electricity, Gas & Water	1.063	15	1.041	15	1.001	18	1.200	10	1.190	11	1.218	7	
22	Trade	0.898	23	0.894	25	0.841	24	1.150	12	1.113	13	1.064	14	
23	Repair & Maintenance of Vehicle	0.789	30	0.800	29	0.770	29	1.240	9	1.355	8	1.149	9	
24	Hotel & Restaurants	1.144	8	1.102	12	1.085	14	0.941	18	0.879	17	0.774	26	
25	transport & Storage	1.021	18	1.004	18	1.042	16	0.880	19	0.834	20	0.859	19	
26	Information & Communication Services	1.100	13	1.024	16	1.037	17	1.057	15	1.029	15	1.008	15	
27	Financial and Insurance Services	0.789	31	0.797	31	0.821	26	1.080	14	1.066	14	1.124	11	
28	Real Estate Activities	0.687	34	0.692	34	0.646	34	0.666	26	0.700	24	0.588	33	
29	Renting of Machinery & Equipment	0.866	25	0.957	20	0.932	21	1.522	5	1.494	5	0.867	18	
30	Business Services	0.825	27	0.836	28	0.832	25	0.674	25	0.806	21	0.813	22	
31	Public Administration & Defense	0.817	29	0.799	30	0.758	31	0.527	34	0.546	34	0.529	34	
32	Educational Services	0.703	33	0.729	33	0.740	32	0.541	32	0.596	31	0.610	32	
33	Community, social, personal & health services	0.920	22	0.937	21	0.853	23	0.530	33	0.551	33	0.620	31	
34	Entertainment, broadcasting, and other services	0.843	26	0.884	27	0.935	20	0.580	31	0.587	32	0.880	17	

Source: Author's computation

Table 2.	Production-induced	effects and	l value-added	creation	effects	of natural	gas or	n other
sectors.								

Sl. N	o. Product * Industry	Prod	uction In	duced Ef	fect	Value-Added Effect							
		2011-12	2	2015-16	6	2019-20)	2011-12	2	2015-10	5	2019-20)
		Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
1	Agriculture & Allied Activities	0.027	8	0.024	7	0.005	15	0.021	5	0.019	4	0.004	11
2	Coal & Lignite	0.007	14	0.004	16	0.004	16	0.004	14	0.003	14	0.002	14
3	Natural Gas												
4	Crude Petroleum	0.014	11	0.013	9	0.049	1	0.007	10	0.008	10	0.024	2
5	Mining and Querying (Metal & Non-metal)	0.034	6	0.043	5	0.004	17	0.023	4	0.026	3	0.002	16
6	Food Products	0.002	22	0.002	22	0.001	28	0.000	25	0.000	27	0.000	29
7	Beverages, Coffee & Tobacco	0.000	28	0.000	31	0.000	31	0.000	29	0.000	32	0.000	31
8	Cotton & Textile	0.004	20	0.004	17	0.001	26	0.001	22	0.001	18	0.000	27
9	Leather & Leather Products	0.000	29	0.000	29	0.000	32	0.000	31	0.000	30	0.000	32
10	Wood, Furniture & Products	0.004	18	0.004	18	0.003	18	0.001	18	0.001	19	0.001	21
11	Publishing, printing and allied activities	0.001	26	0.000	28	0.000	30	0.000	27	0.000	28	0.000	30
12	Rubber & Plastic	0.005	15	0.006	14	0.003	19	0.001	19	0.002	16	0.001	24
13	Petroleum Products incl. Coal Tar	0.061	4	0.080	3	0.008	12	0.004	13	0.012	5	0.001	22
14	Chemical, Fertilizer & Pharmaceuticals	0.249	1	0.193	1	0.007	13	0.061	2	0.056	2	0.002	15
15	Metal and Non-Metal Products	0.098	3	0.047	4	0.016	9	0.024	3	0.011	7	0.004	12
16	Non-electrical Machinery & Equipment	0.015	10	0.002	21	0.001	29	0.004	12	0.001	21	0.000	28
17	Electrical Machinery & Equipment	0.004	17	0.002	23	0.002	22	0.001	20	0.001	22	0.000	26
18	Motor Vehicles and Transport Equipment	0.003	21	0.001	25	0.002	24	0.001	23	0.000	26	0.000	25
19	Other Manufacturing incl. Gems & Jewellery	0.001	25	0.001	24	0.029	5	0.000	26	0.000	25	0.006	9
20	Construction	0.028	7	0.007	12	0.022	8	0.010	8	0.003	15	0.008	7
21	Electricity, Gas & Water	0.036	5	0.025	6	0.014	10	0.014	6	0.010	8	0.006	8
22	Trade	0.110	2	0.102	2	0.028	6	0.067	1	0.064	1	0.018	4

23	Repair & Maintenance of Vehicle	0.000	27	0.005	15	0.002	23	0.000	24	0.004	13	0.001	17
24	Hotel & Restaurants	0.004	19	0.002	20	0.002	20	0.001	17	0.001	20	0.001	23
25	transport & Storage	0.013	12	0.011	10	0.023	7	0.006	11	0.005	11	0.010	6
26	Information & Communication Services	0.005	16	0.003	19	0.006	14	0.002	15	0.001	17	0.002	13
27	Financial and Insurance Services	0.017	9	0.015	8	0.030	4	0.012	7	0.011	6	0.021	3
28	Real Estate Activities	0.010	13	0.009	11	0.001	27	0.009	9	0.008	9	0.001	19
29	Renting of Machinery & Equipment	0.001	24	0.001	26	0.032	3	0.001	21	0.000	24	0.018	5
30	Business Services	0.002	23	0.007	13	0.040	2	0.001	16	0.004	12	0.028	1
31	Public Administration & Defense	0.000	33	0.000	33	0.000	33	0.000	33	0.000	33	0.000	33
32	Educational Services	0.000	31	0.000	27	0.002	25	0.000	30	0.000	23	0.001	20
33	Community, social, personal & health services	0.000	32	0.000	30	0.002	21	0.000	32	0.000	29	0.001	18
34	Entertainment, broadcasting, and other services	0.000	30	0.000	32	0.010	11	0.000	28	0.000	31	0.005	10
	Total indirect	0.756		0.613		0.347		0.278		0.252		0.172	
	Direct	0.005		0.004		0.006		0.546		0.600		0.550	
	Total (Direct + Indirect)	0.761		0.617		0.353		0.824		0.852		0.722	

Source: Authors Compilation

Table 3. Income-induced effects of the natural gas sector on other sectors

SI. N	o. Product * Industry						Income	Effect					
			2011	-12			2015	-16			2019	9-20	
		BL	FL	Total	Rank	BL	FL	Total	Rank	BL	FL	Total	Rank
1	Agriculture & Allied Activities	0.003	0.006	0.009	11	0.003	0.006	0.009	10	0.001	0.006	0.007	14
2	Coal & Lignite	0.001	0.001	0.002	20	0.001	0.001	0.002	22	0.001	0.001	0.002	24
3	Natural Gas	0.000	0.000	0.000	34	0.000	0.000	0.000	34	0.000	0.000	0.000	34
4	Crude Petroleum	0.002	0.002	0.003	18	0.002	0.001	0.003	17	0.006	0.003	0.010	9
5	Mining and Querying (Metal & Non-metal)	0.006	0.002	0.008	12	0.006	0.002	0.008	12	0.001	0.003	0.003	21
6	Food Products	0.000	0.001	0.001	26	0.000	0.001	0.001	27	0.000	0.002	0.002	27
7	Beverages, Coffee & Tobacco	0.000	0.000	0.000	30	0.000	0.000	0.000	31	0.000	0.001	0.001	30
8	Cotton & Textile	0.000	0.002	0.002	21	0.000	0.003	0.003	16	0.000	0.004	0.004	18
9	Leather & Leather Products	0.000	0.000	0.000	33	0.000	0.000	0.000	32	0.000	0.000	0.000	32
10	Wood, Furniture & Products	0.000	0.001	0.001	25	0.000	0.001	0.001	24	0.000	0.001	0.002	26
11	Publishing, printing and allied activities	0.000	0.000	0.000	31	0.000	0.000	0.000	33	0.000	0.000	0.000	33
12	Rubber & Plastic	0.000	0.001	0.001	24	0.000	0.002	0.002	20	0.000	0.002	0.002	22
13	Petroleum Products incl. Coal Tar	0.001	0.013	0.014	7	0.003	0.034	0.037	1	0.000	0.008	0.008	12
14	Chemical, Fertilizer & Pharmaceuticals	0.014	0.015	0.029	2	0.013	0.016	0.029	3	0.001	0.018	0.018	5
15	Metal and Non-Metal Products	0.006	0.006	0.012	8	0.003	0.005	0.008	13	0.001	0.008	0.009	10
16	Non-electrical Machinery & Equipment	0.001	0.002	0.003	19	0.000	0.002	0.002	21	0.000	0.001	0.001	29
17	Electrical Machinery & Equipment	0.000	0.001	0.002	23	0.000	0.001	0.001	23	0.000	0.002	0.002	25
18	Motor Vehicles and Transport Equipment	0.000	0.006	0.006	15	0.000	0.002	0.002	18	0.000	0.002	0.002	23
19	Other Manufacturing incl. Gems & Jewellery	0.000	0.001	0.001	28	0.000	0.000	0.001	30	0.002	0.002	0.004	17
20	Construction	0.007	0.035	0.042	1	0.002	0.029	0.031	2	0.005	0.054	0.059	2
21	Electricity, Gas & Water	0.005	0.014	0.019	5	0.003	0.014	0.017	6	0.002	0.083	0.085	1
22	Trade	0.011	0.011	0.022	4	0.010	0.009	0.019	5	0.003	0.010	0.013	8
23	Repair & Maintenance of Vehicle	0.000	0.000	0.000	32	0.001	0.001	0.001	25	0.000	0.000	0.001	31
24	Hotel & Restaurants	0.000	0.001	0.001	27	0.000	0.001	0.001	26	0.000	0.001	0.001	28
25	transport & Storage	0.002	0.025	0.027	3	0.002	0.023	0.025	4	0.003	0.015	0.019	4
26	Information & Communication Services	0.001	0.006	0.007	14	0.000	0.007	0.007	14	0.001	0.003	0.004	19
27	Financial and Insurance Services	0.003	0.007	0.011	9	0.004	0.005	0.009	11	0.007	0.011	0.018	6
28	Real Estate Activities	0.002	0.005	0.007	13	0.003	0.009	0.012	9	0.001	0.005	0.006	16
29	Renting of Machinery & Equipment	0.000	0.000	0.001	29	0.000	0.000	0.001	28	0.008	0.001	0.008	11
30	Business Services	0.000	0.005	0.006	16	0.002	0.014	0.016	7	0.012	0.010	0.022	3
31	Public Administration & Defense	0.000	0.010	0.010	10	0.000	0.007	0.007	15	0.000	0.015	0.015	7
32	Educational Services	0.000	0.004	0.004	17	0.000	0.002	0.002	19	0.001	0.006	0.007	15
33	Community, social, personal & health services	0.000	0.017	0.017	6	0.000	0.012	0.012	8	0.001	0.007	0.008	13
34	Entertainment, broadcasting, and other services	0.000	0.002	0.002	22	0.000	0.001	0.001	29	0.002	0.001	0.003	20
	Total Indirect	0.066	0.203	0.269		0.058	0.212	0.270		0.058	0.287	0.345	
	Self-inducing effect	0.136	0.136			0.147	0.147			0.149	0.149		
	Total (Direct + Indirect)	0.202	0.339			0.205	0.359			0.208	0.436		
	Initial	0.135	0.135			0.147	0.147			0.149	0.149		
	Type I Income Multiplier	1.495	2.510			1.401	2.450			1.397	2.936		
	Type II Income Multiplier	2.220	3.985			2.234	4.145			2.373	5.065		

Source: Author's computation

Table 4. Em	ployment-induced	effects of the natural	gas sector on	other sectors
			0	

SI. N	o. Product * Industry					ŀ	Employme	nt Effect					
			2011	-12			2015	-16			2019	9-20	
		BL	FL	Total	Rank	BL	FL	Total	Rank	BL	FL	Total	Rank
1	Agriculture & Allied Activities	4.981	8.482	13.463	1	2.617	5.902	8.518	1	0.391	3.871	4.262	2
2	Coal & Lignite	0.064	0.103	0.167	25	0.023	0.031	0.055	28	0.012	0.024	0.035	31
3	Natural Gas	0.000	0.000	0.000	34	0.000	0.000	0.000	34	0.000	0.000	0.000	34
4	Crude Petroleum	0.131	0.108	0.239	22	0.066	0.033	0.099	25	0.120	0.058	0.178	21
5	Mining and Querying (Metal & Non-metal)	0.412	0.131	0.543	15	0.221	0.057	0.278	19	0.009	0.053	0.062	29
6	Food Products	0.017	0.202	0.219	23	0.008	0.114	0.122	23	0.004	0.169	0.173	22
7	Beverages, Coffee & Tobacco	0.007	0.074	0.081	28	0.001	0.055	0.056	27	0.001	0.075	0.075	28
8	Cotton & Textile	0.048	0.504	0.552	14	0.041	0.441	0.482	12	0.013	0.437	0.450	12
9	Leather & Leather Products	0.004	0.024	0.029	33	0.001	0.031	0.032	31	0.000	0.047	0.048	30
10	Wood, Furniture & Products	0.064	0.266	0.330	21	0.041	0.130	0.171	22	0.025	0.155	0.180	20
11	Publishing, printing and allied activities	0.011	0.049	0.060	31	0.005	0.017	0.022	32	0.004	0.030	0.034	32
12	Rubber & Plastic	0.063	0.279	0.342	20	0.056	0.253	0.309	16	0.021	0.232	0.253	17
13	Petroleum Products incl. Coal Tar	0.209	3.137	3.346	5	0.417	4.789	5.206	3	0.024	0.892	0.916	8
14	Chemical, Fertilizer & Pharmaceuticals	3.252	3.516	6.768	3	1.917	2.274	4.192	4	0.062	1.995	2.057	5
15	Metal and Non-Metal Products	1.302	1.461	2.763	7	0.361	0.762	1.122	8	0.107	0.889	0.997	7
16	Non-electrical Machinery & Equipment	0.240	0.547	0.787	13	0.026	0.270	0.297	17	0.006	0.121	0.127	26
17	Electrical Machinery & Equipment	0.058	0.323	0.380	19	0.017	0.181	0.199	21	0.014	0.178	0.193	19
18	Motor Vehicles and Transport Equipment	0.041	1.319	1.360	9	0.009	0.333	0.342	15	0.015	0.228	0.243	18
19	Other Manufacturing incl. Gems & Jewellery	0.012	0.134	0.147	26	0.010	0.066	0.076	26	0.189	0.272	0.461	11
20	Construction	0.760	3.941	4.701	4	0.200	3.400	3.600	5	0.435	4.597	5.032	1
21	Electricity, Gas & Water	0.236	0.713	0.949	12	0.112	0.467	0.579	11	0.051	2.083	2.133	4
22	Trade	3.700	3.582	7.281	2	2.955	2.886	5.841	2	0.647	2.263	2.910	3
23	Repair & Maintenance of Vehicle	0.018	0.031	0.048	32	0.185	0.185	0.370	13	0.050	0.101	0.151	24
24	Hotel & Restaurants	0.114	0.347	0.461	18	0.069	0.221	0.289	18	0.045	0.225	0.270	16
25	transport & Storage	0.250	3.063	3.314	6	0.174	2.658	2.832	6	0.282	1.304	1.587	6
26	Information & Communication Services	0.088	0.956	1.043	11	0.054	0.784	0.838	10	0.077	0.208	0.284	15
27	Financial and Insurance Services	0.170	0.358	0.528	16	0.099	0.144	0.243	20	0.152	0.228	0.380	13
28	Real Estate Activities	0.019	0.048	0.067	30	0.011	0.032	0.042	30	0.001	0.011	0.012	33
29	Renting of Machinery & Equipment	0.027	0.048	0.075	29	0.004	0.011	0.015	33	0.081	0.007	0.088	27
30	Business Services	0.073	1.212	1.284	10	0.094	0.754	0.848	9	0.309	0.254	0.563	9
31	Public Administration & Defense	0.000	0.201	0.201	24	0.000	0.113	0.113	24	0.000	0.155	0.155	23
32	Educational Services	0.009	0.501	0.509	17	0.021	0.326	0.347	14	0.037	0.334	0.371	14
33	Community, social, personal & health services	0.001	1.701	1.702	8	0.002	1.207	1.209	7	0.044	0.509	0.554	10
34	Entertainment, broadcasting, and other services	0.005	0.082	0.087	27	0.001	0.046	0.047	29	0.094	0.056	0.150	25
	Total Indirect	16.385	37.442	53.827		9.818	28.973	38.791		3.320	22.064	25.383	
	Self-inducing effect	9.623	9.623			5.194	5.194			2.762	2.762		
	Total (Direct + Indirect)	26.008	47.065			15.012	34.167			6.081	24.825		
	Initial	9.577	9.577			5.171	5.171			2.745	2.745		
	Type I Income and Employment Multiplier	2.716	4.914			2.903	6.608			2.215	9.042		
	Type II Income and Employment Multiplier	6.444	8.130			8.104	11.499			9.916	16.460		

Source: Author's Computation

Table 5. Supply	shortage	effect of	the Natural	Gas sector	on other	sectors

SI. N	o. Product * Industry	Supp	ly Shorta	age Effect									
		2011-12	2	2015-16	5	2019-20)	2011-12		2015-16		2019-20	
		Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
1	Agriculture & Allied Activities	0.046	10	0.055	8	0.051	8	0.005%	32	0.003%	31	0.002%	32
2	Coal & Lignite	0.011	26	0.006	27	0.008	27	0.026%	7	0.009%	12	0.010%	9
3	Natural Gas												
4	Crude Petroleum	0.011	24	0.006	26	0.024	18	0.014%	20	0.006%	22	0.021%	4
5	Mining and Querying (Metal & Non-metal)	0.011	25	0.011	21	0.020	20	0.015%	18	0.009%	11	0.010%	11
6	Food Products	0.026	16	0.024	16	0.042	11	0.007%	28	0.004%	26	0.005%	23
7	Beverages, Coffee & Tobacco	0.004	29	0.004	30	0.008	28	0.006%	30	0.004%	27	0.006%	22
8	Cotton & Textile	0.037	12	0.041	12	0.050	9	0.014%	21	0.009%	14	0.009%	12
9	Leather & Leather Products	0.002	32	0.003	31	0.005	30	0.007%	29	0.006%	23	0.009%	13
10	Wood, Furniture & Products	0.017	20	0.012	20	0.017	21	0.020%	12	0.007%	19	0.007%	19
11	Publishing, printing and allied activities	0.003	30	0.001	33	0.003	32	0.016%	16	0.006%	24	0.007%	15
12	Rubber & Plastic	0.021	19	0.025	15	0.030	14	0.021%	11	0.011%	9	0.014%	5
13	Petroleum Products incl. Coal Tar	0.917	1	0.919	1	0.297	2	0.182%	1	0.128%	1	0.090%	1
14	Chemical, Fertilizer & Pharmaceuticals	0.269	2	0.228	2	0.230	3	0.044%	3	0.024%	2	0.024%	3
15	Metal and Non-Metal Products	0.110	5	0.098	7	0.135	5	0.023%	9	0.013%	7	0.012%	6
16	Non-electrical Machinery & Equipment	0.033	15	0.024	17	0.013	24	0.022%	10	0.011%	8	0.007%	16
17	Electrical Machinery & Equipment	0.022	18	0.016	19	0.025	16	0.019%	14	0.009%	15	0.008%	14

18	Motor Vehicles and Transport Equipment	0.101	8	0.032	13	0.029	15	0.052%	2	0.008%	16	0.007%	17
19	Other Manufacturing incl. Gems & Jewellery	0.012	22	0.009	23	0.041	12	0.019%	13	0.009%	13	0.011%	8
20	Construction	0.148	4	0.121	4	0.227	4	0.016%	17	0.008%	17	0.010%	10
21	Electricity, Gas & Water	0.109	6	0.106	5	0.584	1	0.038%	5	0.020%	4	0.065%	2
22	Trade	0.107	7	0.099	6	0.096	7	0.015%	19	0.007%	18	0.007%	18
23	Repair & Maintenance of Vehicle	0.001	33	0.005	28	0.004	31	0.013%	22	0.006%	21	0.006%	20
24	Hotel & Restaurants	0.012	23	0.008	24	0.011	26	0.009%	26	0.003%	30	0.004%	28
25	transport & Storage	0.163	3	0.167	3	0.105	6	0.040%	4	0.021%	3	0.012%	7
26	Information & Communication Services	0.050	9	0.046	11	0.016	22	0.033%	6	0.014%	5	0.005%	24
27	Financial and Insurance Services	0.035	13	0.022	18	0.045	10	0.012%	24	0.004%	29	0.005%	25
28	Real Estate Activities	0.025	17	0.027	14	0.013	25	0.008%	27	0.004%	28	0.002%	31
29	Renting of Machinery & Equipment	0.003	31	0.002	32	0.003	33	0.023%	8	0.014%	6	0.004%	27
30	Business Services	0.035	14	0.053	9	0.033	13	0.012%	23	0.006%	20	0.003%	29
31	Public Administration & Defense	0.016	21	0.011	22	0.022	19	0.005%	31	0.002%	33	0.002%	33
32	Educational Services	0.007	27	0.007	25	0.014	23	0.004%	33	0.002%	32	0.003%	30
33	Community, social, personal & health services	0.042	11	0.051	10	0.024	17	0.017%	15	0.010%	10	0.004%	26
34	Entertainment, broadcasting, and other services	0.004	28	0.004	29	0.006	29	0.010%	25	0.005%	25	0.006%	21
	Total indirect	2.409		2.245		2.232							
	Direct	0.005		0.004		0.006		1.445%		1.561%		1.962%	
	Total (Direct + Indirect)	2.414		2.249		2.238		0.063%		0.056%		0.068%	

Source: Author's Computation

Note: The last row of the table for relative price impact presents the percentage change in average price level in the economy.

Acknowledgement: Not Applicable

Funding: This research received no grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of Competing Interest: The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data Availability Statement: Data is available in public domain.

References

- Adebayo, Tomiwa S, İlhan Öztürk, Mehmet Ağa, Solomon E Uhunamure, Derviş Kırıkkaleli, and Karabo Shale. 2023. "Role of Natural Gas and Nuclear Energy Consumption in Fostering Environmental Sustainability in India." *Scientific Reports* 13 (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-38189-4.
- Apergis, Nicholas, and James E. Payne. 2010. "Natural Gas Consumption and Economic Growth: A Panel Investigation of 67 Countries." *Applied Energy* 87 (8): 2759–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2010.01.002.
- Aqeel, Anjum, and Mohammad Sabihuddin Butt. 2001. "The Relationship between Energy Consumption and Economic Growth in Pakistan." *Asia-Pacific Development Journal* 8

(2): 101–10.

- Beutel, Jorg, Jose Manuel Rueda-Cantuche, Satoshi Inomata, and Antonio F. Amores. 2018. "Handbook on Supply, Use and Input-Output Tables with Extensions and Applications." United Nations, no. August.
- Caloghirou, Y D, A G Mourelatos, and A Roboli. 1996. "Macroeconomic Impacts of Natural Gas Introduction in Greece." *Energy* 21 (10): 899–909.
- Chugh, Gurpreet. 2021. "The 'Green Fossil." In *The next Stop Natural Gas and India's Journey to a Clean Energy Future*, edited by Vikram Singh Mehta, 1st ed., 41–75. Harper Clollins Publishers India.
- Considine, Timothy J., Robert Watson, and Seth Blumsack. 2010. "The Economic Impacts of the Pennsylvania Marcellus Shale Natural Gas Play: An Update." *Oilandgasbmps.Org.* http://www.oilandgasbmps.org/docs/PA03-The-Economic-Impacts-of-the-Pennsylvania.pdf.
- Davis, H. Craig, and E. Lawrence Salkin. 1984. "Alternative Approaches to the Estimation of Economic Impacts Resulting from Supply Constraints." *The Annals of Regional Science* 18 (2): 25–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01287372/METRICS.
- Dimitriou, Dimitrios J, John C Mourmouris, and Maria F Sartzetaki. 2015. "Economic Impact Assessment of Mega Infrastructure Pipeline Projects." *Applied Economics* 47 (40): 4310–22.
- Emonts-Holley, Tobias, Andrew Ross, and Kim Swales. 2021. "Estimating Induced Effects in IO Impact Analysis: Variation in the Methods for Calculating the Type II Leontief Multipliers." *Economic Systems Research* 33 (4): 429–45.
- Eurostat. 2008. Methodologies and Working Papers Eurostat Manual of Supply, Use and Input-Output Tables 2008 Edition. Office.
- Fatai, Koli, Les Oxley, and Francis Gordon Scrimgeour. 2004. "Modelling the Causal Relationship between Energy Consumption and GDP in New Zealand, Australia, India, Indonesia, The Philippines and Thailand." *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* 64 (3–4): 431–45.
- Ghosh, A. 1958. "Input-Output Approach in an Allocation System." *Economica* 25 (97): 58. https://doi.org/10.2307/2550694.
- Guerra, Ana-Isabel, and Ferran Sancho. 2011. "Revisiting the Original Ghosh Model: Can It Be Made More Plausible?" *Economic Systems Research* 23 (3): 319–28.
- Guitton, Henri, and P. Norregaard Rasmussen. 1957. "Studies in Inter-Sectoral Relations." *Revue Économique* 8 (6). https://doi.org/10.2307/3498675.
- Halvorson, Alan L. 1987. "Alternative Approaches to the Estimation of Economic Impacts Resulting from Supply Constraints: Comment and Elaboration." *The Annals of Regional Science* 21 (1): 80–83. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01540234/METRICS.
- Hutagalung, Aldi M., Djoni Hartono, Maarten J. Arentsen, and Jon C. Lovett. 2019. "Economic Implications of Domestic Natural Gas Allocation in Indonesia." *International Journal of Energy Sector Management* 13 (2). https://doi.org/10.1108/IJESM-05-2018-0003.

- IEA. 2021. "India Energy Outlook 2021." https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/1de6d91ee23f-4e02-b1fb-51fdd6283b22/India_Energy_Outlook_2021.pdf.
- Jain, Anil, and Anupama Sen. 2011. Natural Gas in India: An Analysis of Policy. Citeseer.
- Kim, Kyung-Hag, Ju-Hee Kim, and Seung-Hoon Yoo. 2020. "An Input-Output Analysis of the Economic Role and Effects of the Mining Industry in South Korea." *Minerals* 10 (7): 624.
- Kumar, Vinod Vijay, Yogendra Shastri, and Andrew Hoadley. 2020. "A Consequence Analysis Study of Natural Gas Consumption in a Developing Country: Case of India." *Energy Policy* 145: 111675.
- Lee, Seo-Young, Ju-Hee Kim, and Seung-Hoon Yoo. 2023. "Role of Natural Gas Supply Sector in the National Economy: A Comparative Analysis between South Korea and Japan." *Applied Sciences* 13 (3): 1689.
- Logar, Ivana, and Jeroen C J M Van Den Bergh. 2013. "The Impact of Peak Oil on Tourism in Spain: An Input–Output Analysis of Price, Demand and Economy-Wide Effects." *Energy* 54: 155–66.
- Malyan, Ankur. 2020. "India's Ambition of a Natural Gas-Based Economy Needs More Research." 2020. https://www.ceew.in/blogs/indias-ambition-gas-based-economy-callsmore-research.
- Malyan, Ankur, Poonam Nagar Koti, Nitin Maurya, and Diptiranjan Mahapatra. 2021. "India 's Natural Gas Future Amid Changing Global Energy Dynamics."
- Mason, Akshaya Koshy. 2021. "Regulatory Liberalization." In *The next Stop Natural Gas and India's Journey to a Clean Energy Future*, edited by Vikram Singh Mehta, 1st ed., 138–66. Harper Clollins Publishers India.
- Miller, Ronald E., and Peter D. Blair. 2009. *Input-Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions, Second Edition. Input-Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions, Second Edition.* https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511626982.

—. 2022. *Input-Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions*. 3rd ed. New York: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108676212.

- Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas (MoPNG). 2020. "Natural Gas Marketing Reforms." Expl-15022(13)/234/2019-ONG-DV. https://t.ly/lkA3_.
- Moore, Frederick T. 1955. "Regional Economic Reaction Paths." *The American Economic Review* 45 (2): 133–48.
- Nansai, Keisuke, Shigemi Kagawa, Yasushi Kondo, Sangwon Suh, Rokuta Inaba, and Kenichi Nakajima. 2009. "IMPROVING THE COMPLETENESS OF PRODUCT CARBON FOOTPRINTS USING A GLOBAL LINK INPUT–OUTPUT MODEL: THE CASE OF JAPAN." *Economic Systems Research* 21 (3): 267–90. https://doi.org/10.1080/09535310903541587.
- Nischal, Tinku Sengupta, and Atul Kumar. 2008. "Natural Gas Scenario In India-The Recent Upswings, Concerns And The Way Forward." In *SPE Asia Pacific Oil and Gas Conference and Exhibition*. OnePetro.

Ozturk, Ilhan, and Usama Al-Mulali. 2015. "Natural Gas Consumption and Economic

Growth Nexus: Panel Data Analysis for GCC Countries." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 51: 998–1003.

- Rawat, Atul, and Chandra Prakash Garg. 2023. "Modeling the Strategies to Accelerate the Natural Gas Business Market Growth in a Developing Country." *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 38 (5): 1116–34.
- Robison, M Henry, and Kevin T Duffy-Deno. 1996. "The Role of the Oil and Gas Industry in Utah's Economy: An Economic Base/Input-Output Analysis." *Resource and Energy Economics* 18 (2): 201–18.
- Roy, Arup. 2023. "The Impact of Foreign Direct Investment, Renewable and Non-Renewable Energy Consumption, and Natural Resources on Ecological Footprint: An Indian Perspective." *International Journal of Energy Sector Management* 18 (1): 141–61. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijesm-09-2022-0004.
- Segal, Paul, and Anupama Sen. 2011. "Oil Revenue and Economic Development: The Case of Rajasthan, India." https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/246511/1/978-1-907555-27-5.pdf.
- Sharma, Dolikajyoti, Hemen Sarma, Sujata Hazarika, N F Islam, and M N V Prasad. 2018. "Agro-Ecosystem Diversity in Petroleum and Natural Gas Explored Sites in Assam State, North-Eastern India: Socio-Economic Perspectives." In Sustainable Agriculture Reviews 27, 37–60. Springer.
- Sharma, K K, S Hazarika, B Kalita, and B Sharma. 2011. "Effect of Flaring of Natural Gas in Oil Fields of Assam on Rice Cultivation." *Journal of Environmental Science & Engineering* 53 (3): 289–98.
- Shukla, P R, Subash Dhar, David G Victor, and Mike Jackson. 2007. "Natural Gas in India: An Assessment of Demand from the Electricity Sector." *The Program On Energy and Sustainable Development, October*.
- Sinha, Asha, Sanjay K Kar, Umaprasana Ojha, and M S Balathanigaimani. 2022. "Role of Natural Gas in India: Recent Developments and Future Perspectives." https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.101346.
- Smil, Vaclav. 2015. Natural Gas: Fuel for the 21st Century. Wiley Online Library.
- Solarin, Sakiru Adebola, and Hooi Hooi Lean. 2016. "Natural Gas Consumption, Income, Urbanization, and CO 2 Emissions in China and India." *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 23 (18): 18753–65.
- Song, Tae-Ho, Seul-Ye Lim, and Seung-Hoon Yoo. 2014. "Role of the Natural Gas Supply Industry in the Korean National Economy: An Input-Output Analysis." *Innovation Studies* 9 (1): 87–102.
- Srinivasan, P, Inder S Ravindra, and Karthigai Prakasam. 2015. "Relationship Between Energy Consumption, CO2 Emissions, Economic Growth and Trade in India." *Journal* of Economic & Financial Studies 3 (02): 1. https://doi.org/10.18533/jefs.v3i02.93.
- Ummalla, Mallesh, and Asharani Samal. 2019. "The Impact of Natural Gas and Renewable Energy Consumption on CO2 Emissions and Economic Growth in Two Major Emerging Market Economies." *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 26 (20). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05388-4.

Yang, Hao-Yen. 2000. "A Note on the Causal Relationship between Energy and GDP in Taiwan." *Energy Economics* 22 (3): 309–17.