A Hybrid Energy Input-Output Matrix for Brazil: Analyzing Energy Sectoral Pressures and GHG Emissions

Carolina Silva Ribeiro^{[1](#page-0-0)} Roberto Maximiano Pereira[2](#page-0-1)

ABSTRACT

Estimates from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) indicate that human activities have caused about 1.0°C of global warming above pre-industrial levels and warming is likely to reach 1.5°C between 2030 and 2052, if it continues to increase at the current rate. Currently, estimated anthropogenic global warming is increasing by 0.2°C per decade, considering past and current emissions, warns the IPCC. The consequences of climate change caused by global warming pose risks to humanity and natural ecosystems, highlighting the importance of an energy transition. In this context, pressures arising from population growth, increased food production and economic growth have boosted energy consumption and, in turn, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Therefore, this work analyzes the structural changes in the Brazilian economy related to pressures in the energy sector and pollutant emissions. To this end, the methodology used consisted of using a hybrid inputoutput model with energy data (physical flows) from the National Energy Balance (BEN), based on input-output matrices estimated for Brazil, between 2000 and 2015, and data from the Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Removals Estimation System (SEEG). The analysis will be based on two parts. The first will be based on the hybrid input-output model that will allow checking the direct, indirect and total requirements of the energy sector. The second part will allow us to observe the behavior of the energy sector and other sectors of economic activity in Brazil, in relation to GHG emissions. The results obtained will allow a better understanding of the energy sector in Brazil and its participation in the level of emissions given a global agenda to combat climate change.

Keywords: Energy; Input-output; Hybrid models; GHG emissions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Estimated anthropogenic global warming has been increasing by 0.2°C per decade, considering past and current emissions, warns the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). In this context, pressures arising from population growth, increased food production and economic growth have boosted energy consumption and, in turn, emissions.

The IPCC estimates that anthropogenic activities have caused around 1.0°C of global warming above pre-industrial levels and warming is likely to reach 1.5°C between 2030 and 2052 if it continues to increase at the current rate. . The consequences of climate change caused by global warming represent risks to humanity and natural ecosystems, highlighting the importance of an energy transition. According to Irena (2019), according to current and planned policies, the transition to a decarbonized

¹ PhD in Economics, associate professor at the Federal University of Delta do Parnaíba (UFDPAR). Lead Researcher of the Study Group on Renewable Energy, Impacts, Conflicts and Climate (GEERICC). E-mail: carolinaribeiro@ufdpar.edu.br

² Master in Economics, technical manager and owner of RM Pereira Consultoria Econômica e Socioambiental Ltda..

global energy system will have investments of 110 trillion dollars, which represents 2% of global Gross Domestic Product (GDP) per year, during the period from 2016 to 2050.

According to IEA (2020), in regional terms, in 2018, the main emitters of CO2 were the member countries of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and China, being responsible for 35% and 25% of the global share. , respectively. Faced with the large emission of greenhouse gases (GHG) from such sources, an energy transition has been sought to renewable and less polluting sources (solar, wind, biofuels, biomass and waste, small hydroelectric plants, etc.), in order to trying to reduce global warming and its harmful effects on climate change (IRENA, 2019). As for energy production by primary source, in Brazil non-renewable energy is still higher than renewable energy comparing the years 2015 and 2022. Non-renewable production came to 165,795 million tons of oil equivalent (TEP) in 2015, to 211.79 million TEP in 2022, while renewables jumped from 121.330 million TEP to 143.469 million TEP (EPE, 2023). This highlights the superiority in the production of non-renewable primary sources in relation to renewable ones in the country. According to the Climate Observatory (2023), Brazil remains in a high position in the world ranking of the largest emitters on the planet, occupying seventh place. Given this context, the question arises whether structural changes occurring in the Brazilian economy have influenced greenhouse gas emissions?

From the above, this work analyzes the structural changes in the Brazilian economy related to pressures in the energy sector and pollutant emissions. To this end, the methodology used consisted of using a hybrid input-output model with energy data (physical flows) from the National Energy Balance (BEN), based on input-output matrices estimated for Brazil, between 2000 and 2015, and data from the Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Removals Estimation System (SEEG). In this work, some preliminary results of ongoing research are presented.

2. THE ENERGY SECTOR AND GHG EMISSIONS

Energy production in Brazil relies on non-renewable and renewable sources. From 1970 to 2021, in relation to the total produced, it is observed that renewable sources increased, but the majority of production is still from non-renewable sources, as shown in Graph 1. According to data from the 2023 National Energy Balance, the internal supply of renewable energy came consistently growing since 2013, but 2021 broke this cycle: compared to 2020, the supply of renewable energy fell by 4%, while that of non-renewable energy increased by 12% (OBSERVATÓRIO DO CLIMA, 2023). In other words, the observed economic recovery – with growth of around 4.5% in Brazilian GDP in 2021 compared to the previous year – increased energy demand and this demand was met by a greater portion of fossil sources, according to the Climate Observatory (2023). Oil and natural gas stand out

among the non-renewable sources, as shown in Table 1. The hydraulic source is the main source of production among the renewable sources, in the period of analysis.

Source: Climate Observatory, 2023.

According to Table 1, from 2006 onwards, there was an increase in the participation of wind sources, but it was from 2012 to 2014 that it became more significant. From 2000 to 2015, wind power had a share of almost 5% in the country's total energy production. It is noteworthy that, in Brazil, during the 2001 energy crisis, there was an attempt to start contracting wind energy generation projects. The Emergency Wind Energy Program (PROEÓLICA) was then created with the aim of complementing hydroelectric flows. However, this program did not obtain the desired result and, in 2002, it was replaced by the Incentive Program for Alternative Sources of Electric Energy, PROINFA. This sought to boost the Brazilian energy matrix by encouraging the development of renewable sources and fixing components and wind turbines in Brazil. In 2009, the first energy trading auction focused exclusively on wind sources took place, justifying the advances in the production of this source in subsequent years observed in Table 1.

													(Continue)	
	Non-renewable energy													
											Other Non-		Total non-renewable	
	Oil		Natural gas		Carvão Vapor		Steam coal		Uranium (U ₃ O ₈)		Renewable		(a)	
Year	Production	%	Production	%	Production	%	Production	%	Production	%	Production	%	Production	%
2000	63,85	41,64	13,18	8,60	2,60	1,70	0,01	0,01	0,13	0,09	0,98	0,64	80,76	52,66
2001	66,74	42,68	13,89	8,88	2,17	1,39	0,01	0,01	0,67	0,43	1,07	0,69	84,56	54,07
2002	74,93	43,00	15,42	8,85	1,94	1,11	0,06	0,04	3,34	1,91	1,11	0,63	96,78	55,54
2003	77,23	42,03	15,68	8,53	1,78	0,97	0,04	0,02	2,74	1,49	1,14	0,62	98,62	53,67
2004	76,64	40,29	16,85	8,86	2,02	1,06	0,14	0,07	3,57	1,88	1,13	0,60	100,35	52,75
2005	84,30	42,04	17,58	8,76	2,35	1,17	0,13	0,07	1,31	0,65	1,20	0,60	106,87	53,29
2006	89,21	42,12	17,58	8,30	2,20	1,04	0,09	0,04	2,34	1,10	1,21	0,57	112,64	53,18
2007	90,77	40,58	18,02	8,06	2,26	1,01	0,09	0,04	3,62	1,62	1,37	0,61	116,13	51,92
2008	94,00	39,75	21,40	9,05	2,55	1,08	0,10	0,04	3,95	1,67	1,16	0,49	123,16	52,09
2009	100,92	41,98	20,98	8,73	1,91	0,80	0,17	0,07	4,12	1,71	1,24	0,52	129,34	53,80
2010	106,56	42,10	22,77	9,00	2,10	0,83	0,00	0,00	1,77	0,70	1,08	0,42	134,28	53,05
2011	108,98	42,50	23,89	9,32	2,13	0,83	0,00	0,00	4,21	1,64	1,33	0,52	140,53	54,80
2012	107,26	41,73	25,57	9,95	2,52	0,98	0,00	0,00	3,88	1,51	1,34	0,52	140,57	54,69
2013	104,76	40,57	27,97	10,83	3,30	1,28	0,00	0,00	2,37	0,92	1,59	0,62	140,00	54,22
2014	116,70	42,79	31,66	11,61	3,06	1,12	0,00	0,00	0,68	0,25	1,81	0,67	153,92	56,44
2015	126,13	44,04	34,87	12,18	2,46	0,86	0,00	0,00	0,51	0,18	1,83	0,64	165,80	57,89
Sum and average	1488,97	41,86	337,33	9,34	37,36	1,08	0,84	0,03	39,21	1,11	20,59	0,58	1924,30	54,00

Table 1 - Production of primary energy by source (2000-2015)

Source: Own elaboration, based on BEN data, 2023. Note: Measures in TEP

In the recent period, electricity production from wind sources reached 81.6 TWh in 2022, equivalent to an increase of 13% compared to the previous year, when it reached 72.3 TWh. In 2022, the installed power for wind generation in the country expanded by 14.3% (EPE, 2023).

In relation to greenhouse gas emissions, data from the Climate Observatory (2023) indicate that Brazil emitted 2.4 billion gross tons of greenhouse gases in 2021. The increase in deforestation, mainly in the Amazon, was the main responsible for the increase in emissions. The energy sector saw an increase in emissions of 12.5%, representing the biggest jump in 50 years. 435 million tons were emitted, compared to 387 million in the previous year (OBSERVATÓRIO DO CLIMA, 2023). This increase is related to the return of the post-pandemic economy. Another sector that also showed an increase was agriculture, 3.8%.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 THE HYBRID INPUT-OUTPUT MODEL

To obtain the sectoral indices and multipliers, first, the input-output model^{[3](#page-5-0)} developed by Leontief is derived. This seeks to account for the contributions of the entire production chain for a given demand (MILLER; BLAIR, 2009).

The contribution of many researchers has extended to extensions of the traditional model proposed by Leontief employing physical units in the process. This has provided new research involving energy use, its physical flows in inter-industrial activity and environmental pollution (MILLER; BLAIR, 2009). In general, energy input typically determines the total amount of energy required to deliver a product to end demand, both directly as the energy consumed by an industry's production process, and indirectly as the energy embodied in that industry's inputs.

Thus, in the hybrid model, through the physical flows, it is possible to verify the direct, indirect and total requirements of the energy sector, that is, the sum of the first two. The physical unit adopted was ton oil equivalent (TEP). Analogously to the traditional model, the hybrid model is also composed of matrices, however the characteristics of the matrices in the hybrid model differ from the traditional Leontief model. Because, in this one, the line of energy flows (G) from the National Energy Balance (BEN) is replaced in the matrix of interindustry transactions (Z). With this substitution, a new matrix will be obtained (Z*) containing interindustry energy flows in physical units, in addition to monetary unit flows.

Assuming, for example, a regional model containing two sectors, in which the first is the primary energy sector and the physical production flows are measured in tonne of oil equivalent (TEP). Then, the hybrid model can be expressed as follows:

³ For more details, see Miller and Blair (2009).

$$
Z = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{3} & \frac{4}{3} \\ \frac{4}{3} & \frac{4}{3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} TEP & TEP \end{bmatrix}
$$
(1)

$$
Z^* = \begin{bmatrix} TEP & TEP \\ \frac{4}{3} & \frac{4}{3} \end{bmatrix}
$$
(2)

For total production (X) and final demand (Y) by sector, the same reasoning must be adopted:

$$
X^* = \begin{bmatrix} TEP \\ \S \end{bmatrix} Y^* = \begin{bmatrix} TEP \\ \S \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (3)

The matrix of coefficients of direct requirements (technical coefficients) is given by

 $A^* = Z^*(\hat{X}^*)^{-1}$ (4), differing from the traditional technical coefficient matrix $A = Z(\hat{X})^{-1}$ (5).

So, the matrix A* is the hybrid matrix of technical coefficients, given by:

$$
A^* = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\text{TEP}}{\text{TEP}} & \frac{\text{TEP}}{\$} \\ \frac{\$}{\text{TEP}} & \frac{\$}{\$} \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}
$$

On the other hand, the inverse Leontief matrix, $B^* = (I - A^*)^{-1}$ (7), will follow the same reasoning and will be used in the calculation of the input-output indicators of this work.

The energy requirements of are obtained by subtracting the inverse hybrid matrix from Leontief, B^{*}, of the identity matrix $Q^* = B^* - I^*$ (8), where Q^* is the total net requirement matrix. The matrix of coefficients of indirect requirements is given by $W^*=Q^*A^*$ (9), on what A^* is the matrix of direct energy requirements.

3. DATA

To analyze the energy sector in Brazil in the years 2000 and 2015, the input-output matrices estimated by the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE) and the physical energy flows from the National Energy Balance (BEN) for both years were used. A compatibility between the two bases was carried out, as the energy data (physical flows) from BEN presented a different sectoral disaggregation from the input-output matrices for Brazil, generating a matrix with disaggregation for fourteen productive sectors. The analysis will be based on two parts. The first will be based on the hybrid input-output model that will allow checking the direct, indirect and total requirements of the energy sector. The second part will allow us to observe the behavior of the energy, agricultural and industrial sectors, as well as waste and change in land and forest use in Brazil, in relation to GHG emissions, based on data from the Emissions Estimation System and Greenhouse Gas Removals (SEEG) is an initiative of the Climate Observatory.

4.1 TOTAL ENERGY REQUIREMENTS OF THE POWER SECTOR

The analysis of the evolution, from 2000 to 2015, of the total energy requirements of the energy sector involves direct and indirect requirements. Given the adoption of the hybrid input-output model, the results are in physical units, that is, tons of oil equivalent (TEP). There is a breakdown into fourteen productive sectors. This allows us to verify their pressure on the energy sector, as well as its evolution from 2000 to 2015. From Table 2, it can be seen that there was a reduction in the average of total energy requirements from 2000 (0.004) to 2015 (002) , that is, the fourteen sectors analyzed required less energy during this period. In the same way, it is observed in direct indirect applications, both with a similar reduction, from 0.002, in 2000, to 0.001, in 2015. These results corroborate Firme and Perobelli (2012), showing, in comparison to these, that from 1997 to 2015 has seen a decline in the energy sector's total energy requirements.

	energy sector $(2000-2015)$										
		RT 2000	RT 2015	RD 2000	RD 2015	RI 2000	RI 2015				
$\mathbf{1}$	Farming	0,0034	0,0008	0,0021	0,0005	0,0013	0,0002				
2	Mining and pelletizing	0,0002	0,0001	0,0000	0,0000	0,0002	0,0001				
3	Energy sector	0,0116	0,0050	0,0071	0,0029	0,0045	0,0020				
4	Non-metallic minerals	0,0020	0,0011	0,0003	0,0004	0,0017	0,0007				
5.	Iron and Steel	0,0054	0,0019	0,0002	0,0005	0,0052	0,0013				
	Non-ferrous metals and other										
6	metallurgy	0,0036	0,0010	0,0016	0,0004	0,0020	0,0006				
7	Other industries	0,0014	0,0004	0,0007	0,0002	0,0007	0,0002				
8	Paper And Cellulose	0,0014	0,0007	0,0002	0,0001	0,0011	0,0006				
9	Chemical	0,0035	0,0012	0,0010	0,0004	0,0025	0,0009				
10	Textile and clothing	0,0001	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000	0,0001	0,0000				
11	Food and drinks	0,0014	0,0005	0,0003	0,0002	0,0010	0,0003				
12	Trade and services	0,0009	0,0004	0,0005	0,0002	0,0004	0,0002				
13	Transport	0,0191	0,0091	0,0118	0,0057	0,0072	0,0034				
14	Public services	0,0002	0,0000	0,0001	0,0000	0,0001	0,0000				
	Average	0,0039	0,0016	0,0019	0,0008	0,0020	0,0008				

Table 2 - Evolution of total (RT), direct (RD) and indirect (RI) energy requirements of the energy sector (2000-2015)

Source: Own elaboration, 2023.

In Graph 2, there is the evolution of the total net energy requirements of the energy sector in 2000 and 2015. The sectors with the highest total requirement rates were: transport (0.019 in 2000 and 0.009 in 2015), energy sector^{[4](#page-7-0)} (0.012 in 2000 and 0.005 in 2015) and iron and steel (0.005 in 2000 and 0.002 in 2015), respectively. These three, despite showing a decrease, put pressure on the energy sector. Thus, it is clear that growth in these sectors will require more investments in energy. In relation

⁴ The energy sector includes the following sectors: oil and natural gas, mineral coal, liquefied petroleum gas, automotive gasoline, gasohol, fuel oil, diesel oil, other products from petroleum refining and coke, alcohol, electricity and gas.

to the energy sector, in comparison to the work of Firme and Perobelli (2012), it was noted that it showed an increase in energy consumption (increasing RT) from 1997 to 2002, but in the present work it shows a reduction (decreasing RT) from 2000 to 2015.

Graph 2 – Total Net Energy Requirements of the Energy Sector (2000 - 2015)

Source: Own elaboration, 2023.

However, it is possible to have a better assessment of the pressure exerted by these sectors on the energy sector by analyzing direct and indirect requirements. In Graph 3, one can analyze the direct energy requirements of the energy sector, it is observed that with the exception of the mining and pelletizing and textile and clothing sectors which remained constant (0.000 in 2000 and 0.000 in 2015, both), and non-metallic minerals and iron and steel that showed an increase in energy consumption, the other sectors had a reduction in their indexes. The transport and energy sectors lead as the sectors with the highest direct requirements, with 0.012 in 2000 and 0.006 in 2015; and 0.007 in 2000 and 0.003 in 2015, respectively. Agriculture had the third highest direct energy requirement in 2000 (0.002), in 2015, as well as the transport and energy sectors also showed a reduction in direct requirements.

Graph 3 – Direct Energy Requirements of the Energy Sector (2000 - 2015)

Source: Own elaboration, 2023.

The transport sector is a large consumer of diesel oil, gasoline and ethyl alcohol, while the energy sector includes oil, alcohol, electricity and gas, for example, which explains the high rate of direct energy requirements. This in turn is in accordance with data from the National Energy Balance (BEN).

Already analyzing the indirect energy requirements, Chart 4, some changes in relation to the sectors are evident. The transport sector continues to stand out, with a high rate of indirect requirements: 0.007 in 2000 and 0.003 in 2015. The energy sector, which ranked second among the sectors that stood out most in terms of total and direct requirements, in 2000 presents a lower index (0.004) than the iron and steel sector (0.005), in indirect terms, with the opposite occurring in 2015 (0.002 and 0.001, respectively). It is observed that sectors that did not exert pressure on direct requirements, become more expressive in relation to indirect requirements, such as: chemistry; non-ferrous and other metals from metallurgy; Paper And Cellulose; non-metallic minerals and agriculture. The textile and clothing sector and the public services sector are practically insignificant in terms of indirect requirements.

Graph 4 – Indirect Energy Requirements of the Energy Sector (2000 - 2015)

Source: Own elaboration, 2023.

According to Firme and Perobelli (2012), there can be two extremes: on the one hand, sectors with high energy demand and a low ratio of direct versus indirect requirements tend to have strong pressure on the region's energy sector; on the other hand, sectors with low energy demand and a high ratio of direct versus indirect requirements cause low pressure on the local energy sector.

Graph 5 presents the percentage relationship of direct and indirect requirements by sector in 2000 and 2015. It is observed that the mining and pelletizing sector is the one with the lowest relationship between direct and indirect requirements, that is, less pressure on the sector of energy in the region. In 2000, this sector's requirement was 100% indirect, in 2015, less than 5% is direct, demonstrating that the sector has a high power to multiply energy consumption. Sectors such as non-metallic minerals, iron and steel, paper and cellulose, chemicals, textiles and clothing, food and beverages present indirect requirements exceeding 50% of total requirements. Therefore, they have high multiplier power.

Graph 5 – Sectorial Participation of Direct and Indirect Requirements in the Total Energy Requirements of the Energy Sector (2000 e 2015)

Source: Own elaboration, 2023.

The transport sector, in Graph 5, presents a peculiarity in relation to the others, as in 2000 and 2015 it presented the highest total requirement. However, approximately 62% of this total corresponded to direct requirements in 2000 and 2015. This demonstrates, therefore, that this sector demands a lot of energy from the energy sector, but has a low multiplier power. A similar case was highlighted by Firme and Perobelli (2012), in 1997 and 2002. Agriculture is also another interesting case, in 2000 its direct requirement was approximately 61%, in 2015 it increased, reaching almost 70%, demonstrating greater energy demand and low multiplier power.

4.2 SECTORS OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY AND GHG EMISSIONS

Even having met the numerical target of its National Climate Change policy, Brazil has not yet changed its emissions trajectory or pollution profile, mainly concentrated on deforestation. The growth in gross GHG emissions was 12.2% in 2021, compared to 2020, while global emissions grew by around 5%, demonstrating that emissions in the country were higher than the world average (OBSERVATÓRIO DO CLIMA, 2023) .

The energy, agricultural, industrial, waste and land use change and forestry sectors in Brazil must be analyzed to understand GHG emissions in the country, considering the Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Removals Estimation System (SEEG) , an initiative of the Climate Observatory. The destruction of Brazilian biomes contributed to increasing the country's emissions. In Figure 1, there are GHG emissions in Brazil from 1990 to 2021 in tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (GtCO2). Land use change and forestry is the sector with the highest GHG emissions in recent decades, linked to the deforestation of different biomes, such as the Cerrado and the Amazon.

Source: Climate Observatory, 2023.

In Figure 2, it is possible to compare emissions by different sectors. In relation to the two years under analysis, it is noted that three sectors remained among the largest emitters.

Figura 2 – Participação dos setores nas emissões de GEE brasileiras

Source: Climate Observatory, 2023.

Looking at the profile of emissions by economic activity (Figure 3) considering Gross Domestic Product, it is clear that Brazil still pollutes what is generated in terms of wealth, when compared to the world average (OBERSVATÓRIO DO CLIMA, 2023).

Figure 3 – Emissions from economic activities

Source: Climate Observatory, 2023.

The agricultural sector holds the largest share, followed by transport and energy, as shown in Figure 3. Specifically dealing with the energy sector, this is where emissions from the burning of fuels in activities such as transport, industry and generation are allocated. of electricity. This sector includes gases emitted due to the burning of gasoline in a car engine, as well as gases emitted due to the burning of natural gas in an industrial boiler (OBSERVATÓRIO DO CLIMA, 2023). In Figure 4, it is observed that the energy sector has an upward emissions trajectory, due to the Covid-19 pandemic after 2020 the values are lower than the average.

Figure 4 – GHG emissions in the energy and industrial process sectors (PIUP)

Source: Climate Observatory, 2023.

In relation to activities in the energy sector, the transport sector stands out in terms of GHG emissions in Brazil. Transport activity was the one that emitted the most (203.8 million tons of carbon dioxide) within the energy and PIUP sectors (include transport, industry, electricity generation, buildings, agriculture and fuel production). Transport emissions increased by 10%, driven mainly by the consumption of diesel oil in heavy vehicles, surpassing the 2014 level. Furthermore, gasoline consumption also increased, while ethanol consumption was reduced, leading to an increase of emissions, measured in TEP, as shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5 – Use of fuels in transport activities

Source: Climate Observatory, 2023.

Emissions related to the consumption of diesel oil were not even greater due to the portion of biodiesel present in its composition, according to the Climate Observatory (2023). Cars (31%) are the second category in GHG emissions, in first place are trucks (42%). Therefore, new energy sources, which pollute less, must be used and developed with a view to decarbonizing the sector. Actions such as reducing the use of transport and changing less carbon-intensive transport modes.

5. FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

The objective of this work was to analyze pressures in the energy sector and pollutant emissions. To do this, it used Brazil's input-output matrices, aggregated into fourteen productive sectors, for the years 2000 and 2015, aiming to verify the changes that occurred in the energy scenario. Furthermore, investigate the behavior of the energy, agricultural and industrial sectors, as well as waste and change in land and forest use in Brazil, in relation to GHG emissions.

The energy requirements of the energy sector showed a reduction in total requirements of 41% from 2000 to 2015 of the fourteen sectors analyzed. Even the sectors that demand the most energy showed a significant reduction in total energy requirements, such as the transport sector, with a reduction of 48%. A more in-depth analysis must be carried out to define the profile of the sectors with the greatest pressure on the energy sector, as corroborated by Firme and Perobelli (2012). Because, around 62%

of the requirements in this sector come from direct requirements, being a sector with low multiplier power. The mining and pelletizing sector presented more than 95% of its requirements made up of indirect requirements, therefore, it has high multiplier power.

It was also found that the sectors with the greatest power to pressure consumption on the energy sector are the transport and energy sectors, respectively. Analyzing the transport sector in the context of the energy transition, it is observed that the sector exerts pressure on the use of non-renewable energy sources. In other words, even with an electrical matrix with a predominance of renewable energy, the sector that requires the most energy is intensive in non-renewable energy, according to the National Energy Balance. As the transport sector is vital to the country's economy, it is essential to seek to adopt less polluting sources and alternatives to diesel, in order to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and combat climate change.

The use of the hybrid model and analysis with SEEG data highlighted the pressures in the energy sector, including transport. The historical analysis allowed us to observe that gross GHG emissions have been increasing in Brazil. Furthermore, there are warnings about the period from 2019 to 2022 being considered as being marked by the dismantling of the Brazilian climate governance agenda, due to the actions taken by the Bolsonaro government, resorting to changes in the emissions reference in the base year of 2005 that would make the Brazil reaches 2030 emitting more than expected, as the Climate Observatory points out.

Finally, it should be noted that this research is not conclusive and presents preliminary considerations of ongoing investigations at the Study Group on Renewable Energy, Impacts, Conflicts and Climate (GEERICC). Future work will expand the topic studied.

REFERÊNCIAS

ABEEÓLICA. **Desenvolvimento da eólica no Brasil**. 2023. Disponível em: www.abeeolica.org.br. Acesso em: 08 mar. 2023. EMPRESA DE PESQUISA ENERGÉTICA (EPE). **Balanço Energético Nacional (BEN) 2023**. Rio de Janeiro: EPE, 2023. EMPRESA DE PESQUISA ENERGÉTICA (EPE). **Balanço Energético Nacional (BEN) 2015**. Rio de Janeiro: EPE, 2015. EMPRESA BRASILEIRA DE PESQUISA ENERGÉTICA (EPE). **Matriz Energética e Elétrica. 2020**. 2020. Disponível em: <https://www.epe.gov.br/pt/abcdenergia/matriz-energetica-e-eletrica>. Acesso em: 14 nov. 2020. FIRME, V A C; PEROBELLI, F S O setor energético brasileiro: uma análise via indicadores de insumo-produto e o modelo híbrido para os anos de 1997 e 2002 **Planejamento e Políticas Públicas**, n. 39, 2012 GUILHOTO, J. J. M. **Input – Output Analysis: Theory and Foundations.** Departamento de Economia. FEA-USP. 2011. HIRSCHMAN, A.O. **The Strategy of Economic Development**. New Haven: Yale University Press. 1958.

INSTITUTO BRASILEIRO DE GEOGRAFIA E ESTATÍSTICA (IBGE). **Produto Interno Bruto.** Série Histórica. 2023.

IEA. **Key world energy statistics 2020**. Stataistics report. 2020.

IEA **Electricity Information: Overview**. IEA, Paris, 2020. Disponível em:

[<https://www.iea.org/reports/electricity-information-overview>](https://www.iea.org/reports/electricity-information-overview). Acesso em: 5 fev. 2021.

IRENA. **Global energy transformation: a road map to 2050**. Abu Dhabi, 2019. Disponível em: <www.irena.org/publications>. Acesso em: 20 ago. 2020.

IPCC. **Summary for Policymakers**. In: Global Warming of 1.5°C. In Press. 2018. Disponível em: <https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/sites/2/2019/05/SR15_SPM_version_report_LR.pdf>. Acesso em: 5 fev. 2021.

MILLER, R.E.; P.D. BLAIR. **Input-Output Analysis: Foundations and Extensions.** 2ª Edição. Cambrige: Cambridge University Press. 2009.

OBSERVATÓRIO DO CLIMA. **Análise das emissões de gases de efeito estufa e suas implicações para as metas climáticas do Brasil 1970-2021.** 2023.

OBSERVATÓRIO DO CLIMA. **Emissões de GEE**. 2024.

OECD. **Climate Change Mitigation: Policies and Progress**. OECD Publishing, 2015.

RASMUSSEN, P. **Studies in Intersectoral Relations**. Amsterdam: North Holland. 1956.

REN 21. **Renewables 2020: Global Status Report.** Renewable Energy Policy Network for the 21st Century, 2020. Disponível em: <https://www.ren21.net/wp-

content/uploads/2019/05/gsr_2020_full_report_en.pdf >. Acesso em: 17 jan. 2020.

ZAPAROLLI , I. D. et al. Análise dos Transbordamentos nas Emissões de Dióxido

de Carbono: Brasil, Rússia, Índia e China – BRIC. **Rev. Econ. NE**, Fortaleza, v. 49, n. 1, p. 149-164, jan./mar., 2018

Appendix A

Table A.1 - Compatibility of the input-output matrices with the BEN sectors

Source: Own elaboration, 2023.